UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,323	09/28/2006	Katrin Counradi	P29298	4896
	7590 08/27/200 & BERNSTEIN, P.L.	EXAMINER		
1950 ROLAND	CLARKE PLACE	MRUK, BRIAN P		
RESTON, VA	20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/27/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/573,323	COUNRADI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Bliati F. Wiluk	1790	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 August 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH f).	g date of the final rejection	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS			e appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	out prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	·	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying the	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a o	corresponding number of finally rei	acted claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>		impliant Americanient (	1 10L-32+).
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendmen	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii subiliitted iii a separate,	differy filed afficianter	it carreening the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowan	ce because:
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li> <li>13. ☐ Other:</li> </ul>	(F10/35/06) Paper NO(S)		
	/Brian P Mruk/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	Jnit 1796	
	,		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Dubowoj, DE 19937813, does not teach or suggest in general a gel-forming acrylate copolymer thickener that is cross-linked and alkali swellable. However, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Specifically, the examiner asserts that Example 1 of Dubowoj clearly discloses a composition that contains an Ethylacrylate/Diethylaminoethylmethacrylate/C10-20-alkyl/PEG-20 itaconate-Terpolymer. Furthermore, the examiner asserts that Page 8, Paragraph 42 of the instant specification indicates that suitable gel-forming acrylate copolymer thickeners that are cross-linked and alkali swellable include Acrylate/Aminoacrylate/C10-30 alkyl/PEG-20 itaconate copolymers. Accordingly, although Dubowoj is silent with respect to the properties of their acrylate copolymers, the examiner asserts that the Ethylacrylate/Diethylaminoethylmethacrylate/C10-20-alkyl/PEG-20 itaconate-Terpolymer disclosed in Example 1 of Dubowoj would inherently be alkali-swellable and crosslinked, since applicant's own specification indicates that these copolymers are preferred acrylate copolymers used in the instant invention. Furthermore, the examiner asserts that the Terpolymer disclosed by Dubowoj in Example 1 contains all of the required monomer units required by applicant in the instant invention.